

# Exploring Early COVID-19 Therapies, Variants, and Viral Clearance Dynamics: Insights from a High-Risk Outpatients Study

Marta Colaneri1,5\*, Maddalena Matone2\*, Federico Fassio3, Alessia Lai4, Annalisa Bergna4, Carla della Ventura4, Lucia Galli1, Giovanni Scaglione1, Andrea Gori1,4,5, Monica Schiavini1

\*These two authors equally contributed to the paper

<sup>1</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Unit II, L. Sacco Hospital, ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco. Milan, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases, Unit I, L. Sacco Hospital, ASST Fatebenefratelli Sacco. Milan, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, Experimental and Forensic Medicine, Unit of Biostatistics and Clinical Epidemiology, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy; 4Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, University of Milan, Milano, Italy; 5. Centre for Multidisciplinary Research in Health Science (MACH), University of Milano, Italy

### Introduction

Numerous approved drugs, including antiviral therapies and monoclonal antibodies (mAb), have demonstrated efficacy in preventing COVID-19 progression by targeting early stages of the disease in high-risk outpatients<sup>1,2</sup>. However, evidence supporting earlier swab negativization with early therapy remains limited, particularly concerning specific variants of concern (VoCs) and especially in the prevalent Omicron VoC context<sup>3</sup>. Our study aims to explore whether a particular early therapy targeting a specific sublineage of Omicron VoC is associated with an expedited time to achieve negative swab results for SARS-CoV-2.

#### Methods

This retrospective, observational study was conducted at Luigi Sacco Hospital in Milan from December 2021 to March 2023. The study focused on outpatients with confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis by positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR on nasal swab, with data extracted from medical records. Demographic, virological, and clinical data were collected, including information on early treatments following guidelines provided by the Italian Medicines Agency. Whole genome sequencing was performed to identify Omicron sublineages, and cycle thresholds (Ct) were utilized to assess viral load dynamics. Statistical analyses were conducted to assess associations between treatment, sublineage, and swab negativization.

#### Results

Of 104 patients, most received antivirals (n=99, 95.2%), predominantly Paxlovid (51.9%). No patients required hospitalization or experienced mortality during the one-month follow-up period. Omicron sublineages BA.1 (22.1%), BA.2 (51%), and BA.4/BA.5 (26.9%) were detected among the patient cohort. However, no significant difference in swab negativization was observed across sublineages or treatment modalities. Trends suggested potential faster clearance in patients infected with non-BA.1 sublineages, but statistical significance was not reached.

Predictors	Estimates	95%CI†	p-value			
(Intercept)	0.90	0.04; 1.76	0.041			
Days	-0.06	-0.20; 0.07	0.371			
Treatments-VoC						
Antiviral BA.1	Reference	Reference				
mAb <sup>††</sup> BA.1	0.16	-1.65; 1.98	0.861			
Antiviral BA.2 BN, XBB, OM4	-0.05	-1.07; 0.97	0.917			
mAb BA.2 BN, XBB, OM4	0.08	-2.83; 3.00	0.955			
Antiviral BA3,4,5, BE, BQ, BF	-0.11	-1.23; 1.00	0.841			

Days*Antiviral BA.1	Reference					
Days*Antiviral BA.2 BN, XBB, OM4	0.03	-0.13;	0.19	0.739		
Days*Antiviral BA3,4,5, BE, BQ, BF	0.03	-0.14;	0.21	0.717		
Days*mAb <sup>¶</sup> BA.1	0.00	-0.25; 0.26		0.975		
Days*mAb BA.2 BN, XBB, OM4	0.01	-0.49; 0.51		0.974		
Regression model analysis of deviance table. Terms added sequentially						
	Df <sup>†††</sup>	Deviance	F value	p-value		
Null model	103	89.534				
Days	1	0.166	18.204	0.181		
Groups	4	0.223	0.614	0.654		
Days*Groups	4	0.016	0.045	0.996		

<u>Table 1.</u> Interaction (symbol: \*) between early treatments-VoC (Varian Concern) and days between SARS-CoV-2 swabs. The Ct value is the dependent variable of the regression model.

+ CI: confidence interval

†† mAb: monoclonal antibody

†††: degrees of freedom



*<u>Figure 1.</u>* Boxplot of relative Ct value increase between the two times.

moAb: monoclonal Antibodies

## Conclusion

In conclusion, while a subtle trend suggested potentially faster Ct growth in certain groups, the evidence is weak due to small sample size and lack of a definitive trend curve. Importantly, the study did not establish a significant association between specific therapies and swab conversion time, highlighting the intricate dynamics of viral clearance and the need for further research in larger cohorts to refine treatment protocols for high-risk COVID-19 patients.

References

 Interim Clinical Considerations for COVID-19 Treatment in Outpatients | CDC. Accessed December 17, 2023. https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/clinical-care/outpatient-treatment-overview.html
Martin-Blondel G, Marcelin AG, Soulié C, et al. Time to negative PCR conversion amongst high-risk patients with mild-to-moderate Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 COVID-19 treated with sotrovimab or nirmatrelvir. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 2023;29(4):543.e5-543.e9. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2022.12.016

Cegolon L, Pol R, Simonetti O, Larese Filon F, Luzzati R. Molnupiravir, Nirmatrelvir/Ritonavir, or Sotrovimab for High-Risk COVID-19 Patients Infected by the Omicron Variant: Hospitalization, Mortality, and Time until Negative Swab Test in Real Life. Pharmaceuticals. 2023;16(5). doi:10.3390/ph16050721